Author(s):

Question: Long term Macrolide antibiotics compared to Usual care in patients with COPD to improve Quality of Life Setting: Bibliography:

| | | | Certainty as | sessment | | | Nº of p | atients | Effec | t | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|
| N₂ of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | Long term Macrolide antibiotics | Usual care | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
| SGRQ to | tal score ¹ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 ^{2,3,4} | randomised trials | not serious | serious ^a | not serious | not serious | none | 506 | 513 | - | MD 2.12 total score lower (3.44 lower to 0.79 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | IMPORTANT |
| SGRQ tot | tal score | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 3,4 | randomised trials | serious ^b | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 491 | 498 | - | MD 2.18 total score lower (1.53 lower to 2.82 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | IMPORTANT |
| SGRQ to | tal score | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ⁵ | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 37 | 37 | - | MD 7.4 total score lower (12.5 lower to 2.5 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніgн | IMPORTANT c |

CI: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference

Explanations

- a. high heterogeneity I2 = 97.10%b. Low assessment rate for Quality of life introducing significant risk of selection bias.

References

- 1. Went Ni, Xiaodi Shao,et. al.. Prophylactic Use of Macrolide Antibiotics for the prevention of COPD Exacerbation: A Meta-Analysis. PLOS ONE; 2015.

 2. JL Simpson, H Powell,et.al.. The Effect of Azithromycin in Adults with Stable Neutrophilic COPD: A Double Blind Randomised, Placebo Controlled Trial. PLoS ONE; 2014.

 3. S Uzun, RS Djamin,et al.. Azithromycin maintenance treatment in patients with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COLUMBUS): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Respiratory Medicine; 2014.

 4. Richard Albert, John Connett,et al. Azithromycin for Prevention of Exacerbations of COPD. The New England Journal of Medicine; 2011.

 5. Farida Berkhof, Nynke E Doornewaard-ten Hertog,et. al.. Azithromycin and cough-specific health status in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic cough: a randomised controlled trial. Respiratory Research; 2013.

Author(s):

Question: Long term Macrolide antibiotics compared to Usual care in patients with COPD to preserve lung function and limit disease progression Setting:
Bibliography:

| | | | Certainty as | sessment | | | Nº of p | atients | Effe | ct | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|
| № of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | Long term Macrolide antibiotics | Usual care | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
| FEV1 de | cline (follow u | p: mean 12 m | onths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 | randomised trials | serious ^a | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 44 | 45 | - | MD 0.12 L higher (0 to 0) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | IMPORTANT b |
| FEV1 (fo | llow up: mean | 12 months) | | • | | | | | | • | | |
| 1 ² | randomised trials | serious ^a | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 47 | 45 | - | MD 0.03 L higher (0.04 lower to 0.11 higher) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | IMPORTANT |
| 6min wa | lk tests (follow | up: mean 12 | 2 months) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 | randomised trials | serious ^a | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 47 | 45 | - | MD 19.3 meter higher (17.8 lower to 56.5 higher) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | IMPORTANT |
| FEV1 (fo | llow up: mean | 12 weeks) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 3 | randomised trials | serious ^a | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 15 | 15 | - | MD 5.62 % predicted lower (16.17 lower to 4.93 higher) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | IMPORTANT |

CI: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference

Explanations

a. Small sample size - underpowered

References

^{1.} Terence Seemungal, Tom Wikinson, et al.. Long term Erythromycin Therapy is associated with decreased COPD excaerbations. Am J Resp Crit Care Med; 2008.
2. S Uzun, RS Djamin, et al.. Azithromycin maintenance treatment in patients with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COLUMBUS): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.. Lancet Respiratory Medicine; 2014.
3. JL Simpson, H Powell, et.al.. The Effect of Azithromycin in Adults with Stable Neutrophilic COPD: A Double Blind Randomised, Placebo Controlled Trial. PLoS ONE; 2014.

Author(s):
Date:
Question: Long term Macrolide antibiotics compared to Usual care in patients with COPD to reduce acute exacerbations
Setting:
Bibliography:

| | | | Certainty asse | ssment | | | Nº of p | atients | Effe | ct | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|---|-----------------|------------|
| № of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | Long term Macrolide antibiotics | Usual care | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
| xacerbati | ons Cochrane re | view 2013 (1 | follow up: mean | 12 months) | | | | | | | | |
| 3 ^{1,2,3} | randomised trials | not serious | serious ^a | not serious | not serious | none | 354/629 | 436/633 | Rate ratio 0.73 (0.58 to 0.91) | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | CRITICAL |
| xacerbati | ons ⁴ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 1,2,3,5,6,7,8,9 | randomised trials | not serious | serious ^a | not serious | not serious b | none | | | Rate ratio 0.58 (0.43 to 0.78) | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | CRITICAL |
| xacerbati | ons Meta-analys | sis Yao and s | ame data publis | hed Donath ¹⁰¹ | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 6 1,2,3,6,7,8 | randomised trials | not serious | serious ^{a,c} | not serious | not serious | none | 369/726 (50.8%) | 478/734 (65.1%) | RR 0.62 (0.43 to 0.89) | 247 fewer per 1,000 (from 371 fewer to 72 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | CRITICAL |
| xacerbati | ons (follow up: | mean 12 moi | nths) | | | | • | | | • | | |
| 1 ³ | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 741/558 | 900/559 | Rate ratio 0.83 (0.72 to 0.95) | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGн | CRITICAL |
| xacerbati | ons (follow up: | mean 12 moi | nths) | | | | • | | • | • | | • |
| 1 ² | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 81/53 | 125/56 | Rate ratio 0.648 (0.489 to 0.859) | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGH | CRITICAL |

| 1 ⁹ | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 84/47 (178.7%) | 129/45 (286.7%) | RR 0.58 (0.47 to 0.79) | 1,000 fewer per 1,000 (from 1,000 fewer to 602 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGн | CRITICAL |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|------|-------------------|--------------------|--|--|------------------|---------------|
| Exacerbat | ions (follow up: | mean 12 mo | nths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 6/11 (54.5%) | 22/11 (200.0%) | RR 0.72 (0.61 to 0.81) ^d | 560 fewer per 1,000 (from 780 fewer to 380 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊕⊕ нібн | CRITICAL |
| Exacerbat | ions (follow up: | mean 12 mo | nths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 7 | randomised trials | serious ^e | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 14/55 (25.5%) | 64/54 (118.5%) | RR 0.21 (0.13 to 0.33) ^f | 936 fewer per 1,000 (from 1,000 fewer to 794 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE | IMPORTANT |
| Exacerbat | ions (follow up: | mean 6 mon | ths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 11/18 | 20/18 | Rate ratio 0.55 (0.31 to 0.98) | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | ⊕⊕⊕ нібн | CRITICAL |
| Exacerbat | ions (follow up: | mean 3 mon | ths) | | <u>l</u> | | • | | | <u>I</u> | | 1 |
| 1 ⁶ | randomised trials | serious ^g | not serious | not serious | very serious ^h | none | 5/31 (16.1%) | 2/36 (5.6%) | RR -1.50 (-11.00 to 0.48) | 139 fewer per 1,000 (from 667 fewer to 29 fewer) | ⊕OOO VERY LOW | NOT IMPORTANT |
| Exacerbat | ions (follow up: | mean 12 wee | eks) | • | <u> </u> | | • | | | | | |
| 1 ¹² | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | serious ⁱ | none | 51/97 | 67/94 | Rate ratio 0.26 (0.07 to 0.41) | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | ⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE | IMPORTANT |
| Exacerbat | ions (follow up: | mean 12 mo | nths) | | <u> </u> | | • | • | | | | |
| 1 ^{13,j} | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | | Rate ratio 0.76 (0.63 to 0.91) | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | ⊕⊕⊕⊕ нібн | CRITICAL |
| | 1 2020 | • | | | | | • | • | • | | | • |

| Exacerbati | ions (follow up: | mean 12 wee | ks) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------|-------|--------|---|---|----------------|---------------|
| 1 ⁵ | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 4/15 | 9/15 | Rate ratio 0.37 (0.11 to 1.21) | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | ФФФ | CRITICAL |
| Exacerbati | ions (follow up: | mean 12 mor | nths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ¹⁴ | observational studies | serious ^k | serious ^l | not serious | serious ^m | | 10/45 | 19/78 | Rate ratio 0.49 (0.17 to 1.38) | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | - | NOT IMPORTANT |
| Exacerbati | ions (follow up: | mean 12 mor | nths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ¹⁵ | observational studies | serious ⁿ | not serious | not serious | not serious | | 57/20 | 136/20 | Rate ratio 0.58 (0.49 to 0.65) ° | per 1000 patient(s) per years (from to) | - | NOT IMPORTANT |

CI: Confidence interval: RR: Risk ratio

Explanations

- a. Significant heterogeneity in studies in terms of intervention, concomitant medication to treat COPD and definition of exacerbation.

- b. Wide confidence interval in Banerjee study, but other studies narrower CI with consistent results
 c. Banerjee paper demonstrated higher exacerbations in intervention arm.
 d. Please note IDR calculated from first medical stats principles and double checked with stats programme. Not reported in study due to small number of patients (11 in each arm) calculated for the six months on the Azithromycin.
- e. Prospective open label study risk of selection and reporting bias significant
- f. IDR calculated
- 1. DR Calculated
 g. Small study, short treatment and follow up duration, high drop out and some differences in the treatment and placebo group selection bias
 h. Only published study with more exacerbations in the intervention group. The intervention group was statistically less mobile with poorer QofLife and less able to function physically could account for exacerbation rate also very short follow up period.
 i. Short intervention period, included patients with 3 exacerbation over 2 years and intervention therefore too short to measure exacerbation rate for three months on treatment.
 j. please note this is sub-group analysis of Albert paper reported here for exacerbations requiring both steroids and antibiotics

- k. retrospective multicentre co-hort high risk of selection bias
 l. Intervention Erythromycin/Clarithromycin different dose used in different patients not defined intervention
 m. Patients with COPD and Asthma included will impact on exacerbation rate measured
- n. Observational study observed exacerbations before and measured exacerbations after azithromycin high risk bias!
- o. IDR calculated not in paper reported small number

References

- 1. ZY He, LM Ou,et al.. Effect of 6 months of erythromycin treatment on inflammatory cells in induced sputum and exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.. Respiration; 2010.
 2. Terence Seemungal, Tom Wikinson,et al.. Long term Erythromycin Therapy is associated with decreased COPD excaerbations. Am J Resp Crit Care Med; 2008.
 3. Richard Albert, John Connett,et al. Azithromycin for Prevention of Exacerbations of COPD. The New England Journal of Medicine; 2011.
 4. Went Ni, Xiaodi Shao,et. al.. Prophylactic Use of Macrolide Antibiotics for the prevention of COPD Exacerbation: A Meta-Analysis. PLOS ONE; 2015.
 5. JL Simpson, H Powell, et.al.. The Effect of Azithromycin in Adults with Stable Neutrophilic COPD: A Double Blind Randomised, Placebo Controlled Trial. PLoS ONE; 2014.
 6. D Banerjee, OA Khair,et. al.. The effect of oral Clarythromycin on health status and bacteriology in stable COPD. Respiratory Med; 2005.
 7. Tomoko Suzuki, Masaru Yanai,et al.. Erythromycin and common cold in COPD. Chest; 2001.
 8. Francesco Blasi, Daniela Bonardi,et al.. Long term azithromycin use in patient with COPD and Tracheostomy. Pulm Pharmacol Ther; 2010.
 9. S Uzun, RS Djamin,et al.. Azithromycin maintenance treatment in patients with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COLUMBUS): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.. Lancet Respiratory Medicine; 2014.

- 10. Elie Donath, Abubakr Chaudhry, et al.. A Meta-Analysis on the prophylactic use of macrolide antibiotics for the prevention of disease exacerbations in patients with COPD. Respiratory Medicine; 2013. 11. Guo-Yan Yao, Yan-Liang Ma, et al. Macrolide Therapy Decrease Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Exacerbation: A Meta-Analysis. Respiration; 2013. 12. E Shafuddin, G Mills, et. al.. A double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled study of roxithromycin and doxycycline combination, roxithromycin alone, or matching placebo for 12 weeks in adults with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.. Journal of negative results in biomedicine; 2015. 13. MK Han, N Tayob, et.al.. Predictors of Chronic Pulmonary Disease in Response to Daily Azithromycin Therapy. Am J Respir Crit Care Med; 2014. 14. M Yamaya, A Azuma, et al.. Inhibitory effects of macrolide antibiotics on exacerbations and hospitalization in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Japan: a retrospective multicenter analysis. J Am Geriatr Soc; 2008. 15. X Pomares, C Montón, et al.. Long-term azithromycin therapy in patients with severe COPD and repeated exacerbations. Int J Cron Obstruct Pulmon Dis; 2011.

Author(s):
Date:
Question: Long term Macrolide antibiotics compared to Usual care for reducing hospitalisation in patients with COPD Setting:
Bibliography:

| | | | Certainty ass | essment | | | N∘ of p | oatients | Effe | ct | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|
| № of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | Long term Macrolide antibiotics | Usual care | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
| lospitali | isation ¹ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 2,3,4,5,6 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | | RR 0.89 (0.64 to 1.24) | 1 fewer per 1,000 (from 1 fewer to 1 fewer) | ФФФ | CRITICAL |
| lospitali | isation (follow u | p: mean 12 m | nonths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ⁶ | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 156/558 (28.0%) | 200/559 (35.8%) | RR 0.98 (0.89 to 1.09) | 7 fewer per 1,000 (from 39 fewer to 32 more) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGH | CRITICAL |
| lospitali | isation (follow u | p: mean 12 m | nonths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 4 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | | RR 1.20 (0.72 to 2.00) | 1 fewer per 1,000 (from 2 fewer to 1 fewer) | ООО НІGH | CRITICAL |
| Hospitali | isation (follow u | p: mean 6 mc | onths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ³ | randomised trials | serious ^a | serious ^b | not serious | not serious | none | 1/11 (9.1%) | 6/11 (54.5%) | RR 0.20 (0.03 to 1.45) | 436 fewer per 1,000 (from 529 fewer to 245 more) | ФФОО LOW | IMPORTANT a |
| Hospitali | isation (follow u | p: mean 12 m | nonths) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 ⁵ | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | | RR 0.45 (0.19 to 1.09) | 0 fewer per 1,000 (from 1 fewer to 0 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊕ HIGH | |

| 12 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 4/42 (9.5%) | 5/42 (11.9%) | RR 0.80 (0.23 to 2.77) | 24 fewer per 1,000 (from 92 fewer to 211 more) | ⊕⊕⊕ HIGH | IMPORTANT |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------|---------------|
| 1 ⁷ | observational studies | serious ^c | serious ^c | not serious | serious ^c | | 28/20 (140.0%) | 72/20 (360.0%) | RR 0.61 (to) | 1,000 fewer per 1,000 (from to) | ÷ | NOT IMPORTANT |

CI: Confidence interval: RR: Risk ratio

Explanations

a. Open label study therefore risk of bias and very small number. Note wide CI - uncertainty of evidence b. study demonstrating this reduction in hospitalisation, it was open label study and small number - therefore likely not significant c. Retrospective co-hort study with significant risk of bias

References

1. Went Ni, Xiaodi Shao,et. al.. Prophylactic Use of Macrolide Antibiotics for the prevention of COPD Exacerbation: A Meta-Analysis. PLOS ONE; 2015.
2. Farida Berkhof, Nynke E Doornewaard-ten Hertog,et. al.. Azithromycin and cough-specific health status in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic cough: a randomised controlled trial. Respiratory Research; 2013.
3. Francesco Blasi, Daniela Bonardi,et al.. Long term azithromycin use in patient with COPD and Tracheostomy. Pulm Pharmacol Ther; 2010.
4. S Uzun, RS Djamin,et al.. Azithromycin maintenance treatment in patients with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COLUMBUS): a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial.. Lancet Respiratory Medicine; 2014.
5. Terence Seemungal, Tom Wikinson,et al.. Long term Erythromycin Therapy is associated with decreased COPD excaerbations. Am J Resp Crit Care Med; 2008.
6. Richard Albert, John Connett,et al. Azithromycin for Prevention of Exacerbations of COPD. The New England Journal of Medicine; 2011.
7. X Pomares, C Montón,et al.. Long-term azithromycin therapy in patients with severe COPD and repeated exacerbations. Int J Cron Obstruct Pulmon Dis; 2011.

Author(s):

Date:

Question: Long term Macrolide antibiotics compared to usual care in patients with COPD or is risk of microbial resistance too high?

Setting:
Bibliography:

| | | | Certainty as | sessment | | | Nº of p | atients | Effec | t | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----------|------------|
| № of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | long term Macrolide antibiotics | usual care | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
| Bacterial | resistance (fo | ollow up: meai | n 12 months) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | | 38/47 (80.9%) | 44/108 (40.7%) | RR 3.76 (1.95 to 7.23) | 1,000 more per 1,000 (from 387 more to 1,000 more) | - | CRITICAL |
| Colonisa | tion (follow up | o: mean 12 mo | onths) | 1 | ı | | Т | T | T | | | |
| 11 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | | 66/550 (12.0%) | 172/550 (31.3%) | RR 0.45 (0.35 to 0.58) | 172 fewer per 1,000 (from 203 fewer to 131 fewer) | - | CRITICAL |

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio

References

1. Richard Albert, John Connett, et al. Azithromycin for Prevention of Exacerbations of COPD. The New England Journal of Medicine; 2011.

Author(s):

Question:

Question: Long term Macrolide antibiotics compared to usual care in patients with COPD or is side effect profile unacceptable?

Setting:
Bibliography:

| | | | | essment | | | 742 OI P | atients | Effe | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------|
| № of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | Long term Macrolide antibiotics | usual care | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
| Adverse eve | nts in all studi | es reported ¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10 | randomised trials | serious ^a | serious ^b | not serious | not serious | none | | | OR 1.55 (1.00 to 2.39) | 2 fewer per 1,000 (from 2 fewer to 1 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊖О Low | CRITICAL |
| Adverse eve | nts in Erythror | nycin group ¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 5,6,9 | randomised trials | not serious | serious ^c | not serious | not serious | none | | | OR 1.22 (0.56 to 2.66) | 1 fewer per 1,000 (from 3 fewer to 1 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | CRITICAL |
| Adverse eve | nts with Azithı | romycin ¹ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 2,3,7,8,10 | randomised trials | not serious | serious ^d | not serious | not serious | none | | | OR 2.08 (0.80 to 5.37) | 2 fewer per 1,000 (from 5 fewer to 1 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | CRITICAL |
| Adverse eve | nts with Clarit | hromycin | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 4 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | serious | not serious | none | | | OR 3.27 (0.59 to 18.21) | 3 fewer per 1,000 (from 18 fewer to 1 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | CRITICAL |
| Serious adve | erse events AT | S/ERS report | ed | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 6,8,10 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | serious ^e | none | 187/660 (28.3%) | 217/658 (33.0%) | RR 0.86 (0.74 to 1.01) | 46 fewer per 1,000 (from 86 fewer to 3 more) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | CRITICAL |

CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; RR: Risk ratio

Explanations

a. Heterogeneity and self reported side effect profile in some studies, risk of selection bias - only reporting more severe side effects, Suzuki not blinded - risk of detection bias, not all studies reported on all side effects introducing attrition bias.
b. He and Uzun reported less side-effects in intervention group, note different macrolide antibiotics with different doses used and dose effect might contribute to side effect profile c. One study used variable dose of Erythromycin that will affect the GI side effect profile d. Different dose regimes used

e. Inconsistency: 12 = 85%

References

- 1. Went Ni, Xiaodi Shao,et. al.. Prophylactic Use of Macrolide Antibiotics for the prevention of COPD Exacerbation: A Meta-Analysis. PLOS ONE; 2015.
 2. Farida Berkhof, Nynke E Doornewaard-ten Hertog,et.al.. Azithromycin and cough-specific health status in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic cough: a randomised Farida Berkhof, Nynke E Doornewaard-ten Hertog,et.al.. Azithromycin and cough-specific health status in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic cough: a randomised controlled trial. Respiratory Research; 2013.
 JL Simpson, H Powell,et.al.. The Effect of Azithromycin in Adults with Stable Neutrophilic COPD: A Double Blind Randomised, Placebo Controlled Trial. PLoS ONE; 2014.
 D Banerjee, OA Khair,et. al.. The effect of oral Clarythromycin on health status and bacteriology in stable COPD. Respiratory Med; 2005.
 ZY He, LM Ou,et al.. Effect of 6 months of erythromycin treatment on inflammatory cells in induced sputum and exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.. Respiration; 2010.
 Tomoko Suzuki, Masaru Yanai,et al.. Erythromycin and common cold in COPD. Chest; 2001.
 Francesco Blasi, Daniela Bonardi,et al.. Long term azithromycin use in patient with COPD and Tracheostomy. Pulm Pharmacol Ther; 2010.
 S Uzun, RS Djamin,et al.. Azithromycin maintenance treatment in patients with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COLUMBUS): a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial.. Lancet Respiratory Medicine; 2014.
 Terence Seemungal, Tom Wilkinson,et al.. Long term Erythromycin Therapy is associated with decreased COPD excaerbations. Am J Resp Crit Care Med; 2008.
 Richard Albert, John Connett,et al. Azithromycin for Prevention of Exacerbations of COPD. The New England Journal of Medicine; 2011.

Author(s):
Date:
Question: Long term Macrolide antibiotics compared to Usual care in patients with COPD to delay onset of acute exacerbations Setting:
Bibliography:

| | | ••• | | | _ | | |
|----|----|-----|----|---|----|----|---|
| Ri | hI | i۸ | ~ | - | nh | ١y | |
| 91 | v | · | y. | a | P. | ·y | • |

| | | | Certainty as | sessment | | | Nº of p | atients | Effe | ct | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------|
| № of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | Long term Macrolide antibiotics | Usual care | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
| ime to 1 | irst exacerbat | ion | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 1,2,3 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 658 | 660 | - | MD 82 days more (53 more to 110 more) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніgн | CRITICAL |
| ime to | onset for first | acute exacerb | ation (follow up | : mean 12 mor | nths) | | | | | | | |
| 1 3 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 558 | 559 | - | MD 92 days more (0 to 0) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGн | CRITICAL |
| ime to | onset of first a | cute exacerba | ation (follow up: | mean 12 mon | ths) | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 53 | 56 | - | MD 182 days more (0 to 0) | НІGH | CRITICAL |
| Time to α | onset of first a | cute exacerba | ation (follow up: | mean 12 mon | ths) | | • | • | | | | |
| 1 1 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 47 | 45 | - | MD 71 days more (0 to 0) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGн | CRITICAL |
| Time to | onset of first a | cute exacerba | ation (follow up: | mean 6 month | ıs) | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | l | <u> </u> | | |
| 1 4 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 18 | 18 | - | MD 69 days more (0 to 0) | НІGH | CRITICAL |
| Time to | onset of first a | cute exacerba | ation (follow up: | mean 12 weel | ks) | | | | | | | |
| 1 ⁵ | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 97 | 94 | - | MD 7 days more (27.21 fewer to 41.24 more) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGH | IMPORTANT b |
| Time to | onset of first a | cute exacerba | ation (follow up: | mean 26 weel | ks) | | | | | | | |
| | randomised | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 15 | 15 | _ | 0 | $\oplus\oplus\oplus\oplus$ | IMPORTANT |

| 1 7 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 11 | 11 | - | 0 (0 to 0) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGH | IMPORTANT a | |
|-----|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|----|----|---|--------------------|-------------|----------------|--|
|-----|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|----|----|---|--------------------|-------------|----------------|--|

CI: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference

References

- 1. S Uzun, RS Djamin,et al.. Azithromycin maintenance treatment in patients with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COLUMBUS): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.. Lancet Respiratory Medicine; 2014.

 2. Terence Seemungal, Tom Wikinson,et al.. Long term Erythromycin Therapy is associated with decreased COPD excaerbations. Am J Resp Crit Care Med; 2008.

 3. Richard Albert, John Connett,et al. Azithromycin for Prevention of Exacerbations of COPD. The New England Journal of Medicine; 2011.

 4. ZY He, LM Ou,et al.. Effect of 6 months of erythromycin treatment on inflammatory cells in induced sputum and exacerbations in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.. Respiration; 2010.

 5. E Shafuddin, G Mills,et. al.. A double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled study of roxithromycin and doxycycline combination, roxithromycin alone, or matching placebo for 12 weeks in adults with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.. Journal of negative results in biomedicine; 2015.

 6. JL Simpson, H Powell,et.al.. The Effect of Azithromycin in Adults with Stable Neutrophilic COPD: A Double Blind Randomised, Placebo Controlled Trial. PLoS ONE; 2014.

 7. Francesco Blasi, Daniela Bonardi,et al.. Long term azithromycin use in patient with COPD and Tracheostomy. Pulm Pharmacol Ther; 2010.

Author(s):

Question: Date:
Question: Long term Macrolide antibiotics compared to Usual care in patients with COPD to reduce airway inflammation, sputum volume, colour and viscosity Setting:
Bibliography:

| | | | Certainty as | ocy Indirectness Imprecision Other Long Macro | | | | № of patients | | Effect | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|-------------|------|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---|-------------|------------|
| № of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | | Long term Macrolide antibiotics | Usual care | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
| Serum C | Serum CRP (follow up: mean 12 months) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 1 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 41 | 42 | - | MD 2.54 mg/l lower (0 to 0) | НІGH | IMPORTANT |
| Serum CRP (follow up: mean 12 months) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 47 | 45 | - | MD 27.1 mg/l lower (42.3 lower to 8 lower) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGH | IMPORTANT |

CI: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference

References

^{1.} Terence Seemungal, Tom Wikinson,et al.. Long term Erythromycin Therapy is associated with decreased COPD excaerbations. Am J Resp Crit Care Med; 2008.
2. S Uzun, RS Djamin,et al.. Azithromycin maintenance treatment in patients with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COLUMBUS): a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial.. Lancet Respiratory Medicine; 2014.

Author(s): Date:

Question: Long term Macrolide antibiotics compared to Usual care in patients with COPD to reduce mortality Setting:
Bibliography:

| Certainty assessment | | | | | | | | № of patients | | Effect | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------|
| № of studies | Study design | Risk of bias | Inconsistency | Indirectness | Imprecision | Other considerations | Long term Macrolide antibiotics | Usual care | Relative (95% CI) | Absolute (95% CI) | Certainty | Importance |
| Mortality | ,1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 2,3,4 | randomised trials | not serious | serious ^a | not serious | not serious | none | 18/660 (2.7%) | 20/657 (3.0%) | RR 0.90 (0.48 to 1.69) | 3 fewer per 1,000 (from 16 fewer to 21 more) | ⊕⊕⊕ MODERATE | CRITICAL |
| Mortality | (follow up: m | ean 12 month | ns) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 4 | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 18/558 (3.2%) | 20/558 (3.6%) | OR 0.90 (0.47 to 1.72) | 3 fewer per 1,000 (from 19 fewer to 24 more) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGH | CRITICAL |
| Mortality | (follow up: m | ean 6 months |) | | | | • | • | | | | |
| 1 ⁵ | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | 3/11 (27.3%) | 5/11 (45.5%) | RR 0.60 (0.19 to 1.92) | 182 fewer per 1,000 (from 368 fewer to 418 more) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніgн | CRITICAL |
| Mortality | ,6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 ^{2,4,5} | randomised trials | not serious | not serious | not serious | not serious | none | | | RR 0.85 (0.49 to 1.46) | 1 fewer per 1,000 (from 1 fewer to 0 fewer) | ⊕⊕⊕ ніGH | CRITICAL |

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio; OR: Odds ratio

Explanations

a. Some inconsistency I2 = 85%

References

- Went Ni, Xiaodi Shao,et. al.. Prophylactic Use of Macrolide Antibiotics for the prevention of COPD Exacerbation: A Meta-Analysis. PLOS ONE; 2015.
 Tomoko Suzuki, Masaru Yanai,et al.. Erythromycin and common cold in COPD. Chest; 2001.
 S Uzun, RS Djamin,et al.. Azithromycin maintenance treatment in patients with frequent exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COLUMBUS): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.. Lancet Respiratory Medicine; 2014.
 Richard Albert, John Connett,et al. Azithromycin for Prevention of Exacerbations of COPD. The New England Journal of Medicine; 2011.
 Francesco Blasi, Daniela Bonardi,et al.. Long term azithromycin use in patient with COPD and Tracheostomy. Pulm Pharmacol Ther; 2010.
 Elie Donath, Abubakr Chaudhry,et al.. A Meta-Analysis on the prophylactic use of macrolide antibiotics for the prevention of disease exacerbations in patients with COPD. Respiratory Medicine; 2013.